

m

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,078	07/16/2003	Mark S. Moir	6000-33700	1247
58467 MHKKG/SUN	7590 09/10/200	7	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 398	107.6		BULLOCK JR, LEWIS ALEXANDER	
AUSTIN, TX 7	8/6/		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2195	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/621,078	MOIR ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Lewis A. Bullock, Jr.	2195		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTE WHICHEVE - Extensions of after SIX (6) M - If NO period f - Failure to rep Any reply rec	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Double time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. For reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period by within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eived by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)☐ This a 3)☐ Since	onsive to communication(s) filed on action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This this application is in condition for allowa d in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	•		
Disposition of	Claims				
4a) Ot 5)	n(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) 1-11 and 46 is/are we h(s) is/are allowed.  n(s) 12-45 is/are rejected. n(s) is/are objected to. n(s) are subject to restriction and/or	ithdrawn from consideration.			
Application Pa	pers				
10)□ The di Applic Repla	pecification is objected to by the Examine rawing(s) filed on 16 July 2003 is/are: a) and may not request that any objection to the cement drawing sheet(s) including the correctath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) Notice of Dra 3) Information (	ferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Mail Date 6-9/04; 12/05 (2); 1/06	4) \( \sum \) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) \( \sum \) Notice of Informal F 6) \( \sum \) Other: \( \sum_{}.	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/621,078 Page 2

Art Unit: 2195

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-11 and 46 are, drawn to storage management of data structures independent of a maximum and without using a garbage collector, classified in class 707, subclass 206.
  - II. Claims 12-45 are, drawn to traversing a data structure, classified in class707, subclass 103Z.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions Group I and Group II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination Group II has separate utility such as encoding counters in pointers such that when during a traversal operation on a shared object, the operation atomically updates the encoded counters. Subcombination Group I do not have this utility but is directed toward managing the storage of the data structure without resorting to garbage collection and independent of any historical maximum. Group II is not directed toward Group I's utility. See MPEP § 806.05(d). Although both inventions are directed toward accessing a data structure, they have separate utility from one another that would require a different search.

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together.

Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found

Application/Control Number: 10/621,078 Page 3

Art Unit: 2195

allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search and has divergent subject matter as disclosed above (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Robert C. Kowert on August 30, 2007 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 12-45. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-11 and 46 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/621,078

Art Unit: 2195

## **Double Patenting**

Page 4

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 12-45 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,993,770. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the cited claims details nodes of a shared object including pointers encoded integrally with a counter wherein lock-free operations traverse the shared object nodes and read and atomically update the counter through the lock-free operations. The claims of the patent disclose a shared object having zero or more component objects such that access operations on the shared object employ one or more lock-free pointer operations that synchronize competing modifications of reference counts for the component objects. Therefore, it is obvious that the pointer operations

Art Unit: 2195

are encoded with handling the pointer operations since they synchronize modification of the reference counts.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lewis A. Bullock, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-3759. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

September 4, 2007